Inelastic process observed in isobaric charge-exchange reaction of ⁵⁶Fe at 500 MeV/u

S. Momota¹, T. Yamaguchi², T. Suzuki², F. Suzuki², K. Sato², S. Yamaki² J. Kouno², A. Ozawa³, 👔 高知工科大学

R. Nishikiori³, D. Nishimura⁴, M. Fukuda⁵, S. Suzuki⁶, M. Nagashima⁶, A. Kitagawa⁷, S. Sato⁷

² Department of Physics, Saitama University, Saitama, 338-8570, Japan ¹Kochi Univ. of Tech., Tosayamada, Kochi 782-8502, Japan, ³ Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Ibaragi 305-8571, Japan, ⁴ Department of Physics, Tokyo University of Science, Noda 278-8510, Japan ⁵ Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan, ⁶ Department of Physics, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan 7 National Institute of Radiological Sciences(NIRS), Chiba 263-8555, Japan

Abstract

In the longitudinal-momentum (P_r) distribution of products in isobaric charge-exchange reactions (p, n) and (3He, t), inelastic component, which is attributed to Δ excitation, has been investigated For example, Udagawa et al. showed that the inelastic component can be a good probe to study nuclear medium effect on Δ excitation [1]. Recently, inelastic component was successfully observed in $P_{\rm L}$ distribution with ²⁰⁸Pb beam at 1A GeV by using the spectrometer FRS at GSI [2]. In the present study, the $P_{\rm L}$ distribution in isobaric charge-exchange reaction was observed at E = 500 MeV/u, which is relatively lower than the previous experiments.

The measurement was performed at NIRS. 56Co was produced through isobaric charge-exchange reaction by bombarding a 0.5-mm thick C-target and a 1-mm thick CH2 target with a primary beam of 56Fe at E=500 MeV/u, provided by HIMAC synchrotron accelerator. The target thickness was selected to make the energy loss equivalent for C and CH₂ target. In order to observe the P_L distributions, the magnetic rigidity of the spectrometer was varied with a step of 0.1% of that corresponding to the primary-beam velocity. The produced 56Co was separated and identified with a high-energy transport system, SB2, used as a doubly achromatic spectrometer. P_L distribution with the proton target is provided by subtracting P_L distribution with C target from that with CH₂ target. As shown in Fig. 1, the inelastic peaks are observed for both target nuclei. P_L distribution with the proton target shows similar behavior to that observed in very recent experiment with a ^{136}Xe beam at 500A MeV [3]. The inelastic peak grows and shifts upward for C target compared with proton target.



Inelastic component A) Excitation and in-medium behavior of △ particle 2) B) Neutron density distribution 3)

Т,_н = 2 GeV Ө = 0° ŝ . 5 Total prod. cross section of ²⁰⁸Bi through isobaric charge exchange reaction ¹⁾ Fig. 3 Total prod. cr

Inv se kine

²⁰⁸Pb + target -> ²⁰⁸Bi

Possibility of experimental studies depends on accuracy obtained for the measurement of the Δ -resonance

Object of this study

Feasibility of HIMAC facility for experimental investigation of inelastic component in isobaric charge-exchange reactions. Secondary beam course SB2 (Doubly achromatic

spectrometer) 6

Simple particle detection system Rigidity (Bp), TOF, ssd x 2

no-position sensitive (tracking) detector





The present results are consistent with the previous results [1]. A) The inelastic component grows with target mass. B) The mean energy transfer corresponding to the Δ -

resonance contribution is equal to 293±12 MeV for proton target.

5. Conclusions

The inelastic component of 56Co, which was produced through isobaric charge exchange reaction at 500 MeV/u, was successfully observed at HIMAC facility with simple particle

- detection system. A) Target dependence, which is consistent with previous results, were observed.
- B) The possibility of HIMAC facility to investigate excitation and in-medium behavior of \varDelta particle has been shown.

References

1. A. Kelić, Phys. Rev. C 70, 064608 (2004)

- 2. T. Udagawa et al., Phys. Rev. C 49, 3162-3181 (1994).
- 3. V.P. Koptev et al., Yad. Fiz. 31, 1501- (1980).
- K. K. Kopiev et al., Tad. T.E. 51, 1501 (1560).
 C. Ellegaard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1745 1748, (1983).
 J. Vargas et al., Nucl. Instru. Meth. Phys. Res. A 707, 16-25
- (2013). 6. M. Kanazawa et al., Nucl. Phys. Res. A 756, 393–396 (2004)